



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,850 | 10/16/2003 | Xingwei Wu | SMBZ 2 01013 | 1747 |

7590 03/14/2008
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP
7th Floor
1100 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

ROY, SIKHA

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2879

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

03/14/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/686,850 | Applicant(s) WU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Sikha Roy | Art Unit 2879 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sikha Roy.

(3) Mr. Jim Stiles.

(2) Mr. Mark Svat.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US2006/0152150 to Boerner and USPN 6,771,019 to Wu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative Mr. Jim Stiles explained how the Boerner reference operates (light being emitted from the phosphor by recombination of holes and electrons with application of voltage) in a way different from that of Wu and hence they cannot be combined. In the instant application the thick film dielectric is an insulator and electrodes form a capacitor. Then he suggested proposed amendment to claim 1 and 31.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sikha Roy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required